



Remanded to Saturday Decr. 3rd.

Mr. Bloomfield appeared for prosecution.

GENERAL FORM OF INFORMATION OR OF COMPLAINT ON OATH.

Canada

Province of British Columbia

District or County of Vancouver.

The information of Wong Kee Kit, of the Township of Vancouver, in the District or County of Vancouver, taken upon oath before me, the undersigned, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the said District or County of Vancouver, at Vancouver, in the said District or County of Vancouver, this 30th. day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and -- who saith that (he hath Just cause to suspect and believe, and doth suspect and believe that) Lai Ching, at the City of Vancouver, on the 28th. day of November, A. D. 1910, <sup>did</sup> obtain from Quong Chin by false pretences the sum of eight hundred dollars with intent to defraud. Contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided.

Wong Kee Kit.

Taken and sworn before me the day and year and at the place above mentioned.

signed C. J. South. J. P.

Committed to take his trial at the next Court of Competent Jurisdiction.



STATEMENT OF THE ACCUSED.

Canada  
Province of British Columbia  
County of Vancouver  
City of Vancouver.

Lai Ching stands charged before the undersigned C. J. South, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the County aforesaid, this 3rd. day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ten, for that the said Lai Ching, on the 28th. day of November, 1910, at Vancouver did obtain from Quong Chin the sum of eight hundred dollars with intent to defraud.

And the said charge being read to the said Lai Ching and the witnesses for the prosecution being severally examined in his presence the said Lai Ching is now addressed by me as follows:- "Having heard the evidence do you wish to say anything in answer to the charge? You are not obliged to say anything unless you desire to do so; but whatever you say will be taken down in writing and may be given in evidence against you at your trial. You must clearly understand that you have nothing to hope from any promise of favor and nothing to fear from any threat which may have been held out to you to induce you to make any admission or confession of guilt, but whatever you now say may be given in evidence against you upon your trial, notwithstanding such promise or threat."

Whereupon the said Lai Ching says as follows:-

"I will reserve my statement."

Taken before me at the City of Vancouver, the day and year first above mentioned.

signed C. J. South J.P.



P O L I C E   C O U R T .  
(Before C. J. South, Esq., J. P.)

Vancouver, B. C. December 3, 1910.

Canada  
Province of British Columbia  
County of Vancouver  
City of Vancouver.

REX vs LAI CHUNG.

LAI CHUNG charged on the information of WONG KEE KIT  
of the City of Vancouver on the 30th. November, 1910, for  
that <sup>at</sup> the said City of Vancouver on the 28th. day of November  
A. D. 1910, Lai Chung did obtain from Quong Chin the sum of  
eight hundred dollars (\$800) with intent to defraud.

Contrary to the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided.

MR. BLOOMFIELD, Counsel for the prosecution.  
MR. L. H. HEANEY, sworn as stenographer.



2.

QUONG CHIN, called as a witness, being first sworn testified as follows:-

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD:

Q. What is your name?

A. Quong Chin.

Q. Do you know the accused Chung?

A. I do.

Q. How long have you known him?

A. A little over a year.

Q. Have you ever seen that before? (Presenting cheque on the Northern Crown Bank for \$800.)

A. Yes.

Q. (Cheque introduced as Exhibit "A")

Q. Where did you see it?

A. In my store.

Q. Who had it?

A. The accused had it.

Q. What did he do with it?

A. He asked me to change it.

Q. Did he say it was a good cheque or otherwise?

A. Yes, he did.

Q. THE COURT: Did he say it was a good cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. Did he say he would guarantee it?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you pay him \$800 for it?

A. ~~You~~. I did.

Q. Did you ever get any money for the cheque?

A. No.

Q. What day was this?



3.

A. Tuesday at half past three.

THE COURT: Tuesday the 29th. of November?

A. Yes.

Q. What reason did he give you for wanting to dash that cheque?

A. He said it was too late for the bank and he wanted to go away the next day, and wanted it cashed.

Q. He urged you to cash it?

A. Yes.

Q. He assured you it was all right?

A. Yes.

Q. Where did you find this man the next morning?

A. On the steamer.

Q. How did you get him off? You actually put up the \$500 head tax to bring him off?

A. Yes.

Q. He was off to China then the next morning?

A. Well, he is a member of the crew of the boat.

Q. Has the man ever admitted anything to you since he was arrested? Did he offer to settle or anything of that kind?

A. The accused told me he got the money and turned it over to a friend.

Q. And he had no money on him when they arrested him?

A. No.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask the witness any questions.

ACCUSED: I told him that was not my cheque. A man asked me to go up and get it cashed for him, and he told me to go to Quong Chin's place.

MR. BLOOMFIELD: What man was this he said gave him this cheque?



4.

A. He told me his friend sent him up.

Q. A friend from the boat?

A. Yes.

Q. A Chinese friend?

A. I do not know. He said his friend.

WONG KEE KIT, called as a witness being first  
sworn, testified as follows:-

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD: /

Q. What is your name?

A. Wong Kee Kit.

Q. You were present in Wong Chin's store when he paid  
the accused \$800?

A. Yes I was present.

Q. You saw the money paid?

A. I did.

Q. Did you hear the accused make any statement as to the  
validity of the cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did he say in regard to it, whether it was  
all right or not?

A. He said that his friend had given him this cheque to  
have it cashed in town.

Q. What was his urgent need to get the money?

A. He said that the Banks were closed and that the boat  
would be leaving in the morning, and he could not get  
it cashed in the Bank.

Q. Did he say he would guarantee the cheque or otherwise?

A. He said if you find that the cheque is no good I will  
repay you.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask this witness any questions?



5.

ACCUSED: no questions.

Q. Kee Kit you went to the bank with this cheque and tried to get it cashed?

A. I took it up to the bank.

Q. What did they tell you?

A. There was no such name.

Q. No such name as Dixon?

A. Yes.

FRANK A. JACKSON, called as a witness, being first sworn, testified as follows:

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD:

Q. You have seen this cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. You took it to the Northern Crown Bank?

A. Yes.

Q. What did they tell you about it?

A. That they knew no such name as Dixon.

Q. They had no such account at the Bank, no funds?

A. No.

THE COURT: Having heard the evidence do you wish to say anything in answer to the charge? You are not obliged to say anything unless you desire to do so; but whatever you say will be taken down in writing and may be given in evidence against you at your trial. You must clearly understand that you have nothing to hope from any promise and nothing to fear from any threat which may have been held out to you ~~xxx~~ to induce you to make any admission or confession of guilt, but whatever you now say may be given in evidence against you upon your trial, notwithstanding such promise or threat.



ACCUSED; I will reserve my statement.

THE COURT: I commit you for trial at the next Court of Competent  
Criminal Jurisdiction.

CERTIFIED by me as being a true and correct copy of the  
depositions taken before me at the hearing of the above cause.

signed C. J. South.  
One of H. M. Justices of the Peace  
in and for the City of Vancouver  
in the Province of British Columbia.





190.....

.....*Assizes.*

*Copy of Depositions in re*

REX

VS.

*Lai Bling*  
Offence .....

9  
77



Remanded to Saturday Decr. 3rd.

Mr. Bloomfield appeared for prosecution.

GENERAL FORM OF INFORMATION OR OF COMPLAINT ON OATH.

---

Canada

Province of British Columbia

District or County of Vancouver.

The information of Wong Kee Kit, of the Township of Vancouver, in the District or County of Vancouver, taken upon oath before me, the undersigned, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the said District or County of Vancouver, at Vancouver, in the said District or County of Vancouver, this 30th. day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and -- who saith that (he hath Just cause to suspect and believe, and doth suspect and believe that) Lai Ching, at the City of Vancouver, on the 28th. day of November, A. D. 1910, <sup>did</sup> obtain from Quong Chin by false pretences the sum of eight hundred dollars with intent to defraud. Contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided.

Wong Kee Kit.

Taken and sworn before me the day and year and at the place above mentioned.

signed C. J. South. J. P.

Committed to take his trial at the next Court of Competent Jurisdiction.



STATEMENT OF THE ACCUSED.

Canada  
Province of British Columbia  
County of Vancouver  
City of Vancouver.

Lai Ching stands charged before the undersigned C. J. South, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the County aforesaid, this 3rd. day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ten, for that the said Lai Ching, on the 28th. day of November, 1910, at Vancouver did obtain from Quong Chin the sum of eight hundred dollars with intent to defraud.

And the said charge being read to the said Lai Ching and the witnesses for the prosecution being severally examined in his presence the said Lai Ching is now addressed by me as follows:- "Having heard the evidence do you wish to say anything in answer to the charge? You are not obliged to say anything unless you desire to do so; but whatever you say will be taken down in writing and may be given in evidence against you at your trial. You must clearly understand that you have nothing to hope from any promise of favor and nothing to fear from any threat which may have been held out to you to induce you to make any admission or confession of guilt, but whatever you now say may be given in evidence against you upon your trial, notwithstanding such promise or threat."

Whereupon the said Lai Ching says as follows:-

"I will reserve my statement."

Taken before me at the City of Vancouver, the day and year first above mentioned.

signed C. J. South J.P.



P O L I C E C O U R T.  
 (Before C. J. South, Esq., J. P.)

Vancouver, B. C. December 3, 1910.

Canada  
 Province of British Columbia  
 County of Vancouver  
 City of Vancouver.

REX vs LAI CHUNG.

LAI CHUNG charged on the information of WONG KEE KIT  
 of the City of Vancouver on the 30th. November, 1910, for  
 that/<sup>at</sup>the said City of Vancouver on the 28th. day of November  
 A. D. 1910, Lai Chung did obtain from Quong Chin the sum of  
 wight hundred dollars (\$800) with intent to defraud.

Contrary to the form of the statute in such case  
 made and provided.

MR. BLOOMFIELD, Counsel for the prosecution.  
 MR. L. H. HEANEY, sworn as stenographer.



2.

QUONG CHIN, called as a witness, being first sworn testified as follows:-

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD:

Q. What is your name?

A. Quong Chin.

Q. Do you know the accused Chung?

A. I do.

Q. How long have you known him?

A. A little over a year.

Q. Have you ever seen that before? (Presenting cheque on the Northern Crown Bank for \$800.)

A. Yes.

~~Q.~~ (Cheque introduced as Exhibit "A")

Q. Where did you see it?

A. In my store.

Q. Who had it?

A. The accused had it.

Q. What did he do with it?

A. He asked me to change it.

Q. Did he say it was a good cheque or otherwise?

A. Yes, he did.

Q. THE COURT: Did he say it was a good cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. Did he say he would guarantee it?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you pay him \$800 for it?

A. ~~Yes.~~ I did.

Q. Did you ever get any money for the cheque?

A. No.

Q. What day was this?



3.

A. Tuesday at half past three.

THE COURT: Tuesday the 29th. of November?

A. Yes.

Q. What reason did he give you for wanting to dash that cheque?

A. He said it was too late for the bank and he wanted to go away the next day, and wanted it cashed.

Q. He urged you to cash it?

A. Yes.

Q. He assured you it was all right?

A. Yes.

Q. Where did you find this man the next morning?

A. On the steamer.

Q. How did you get him off? You actually put up the \$500 head tax to bring him off?

A. Yes.

Q. He was off to China then the next morning?

A. Well, he is a member of the crew of the boat.

Q. Has the man ever admitted anything to you since he was arrested? Did he offer to settle or anything of that kind?

A. The accused told me he got the money and turned it over to a friend.

Q. And he had no money on him when they arrested him?

A. No.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask the witness any questions.

ACCUSED: I told him that was not my cheque. A man asked me to go up and get it cashed for him, and he told me to go to Quong Chin's place.

MR. BLOOMFIELD: What man was this he said gave him this cheque?



4.

A. He told me his friend sent him up.

Q. A friend from the boat?

A. Yes.

Q. A Chinese friend?

A. I do not know. He said his friend.

WONG KEE KIT, called as a witness being first sworn, testified as follows:-

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD: /

Q. What is your name?

A. Wong Kee Kit.

Q. You were present in Wong Chin's store when he paid the accused \$800?

A. Yes I was present.

Q. You saw the money paid?

A. I did.

Q. Did you hear the accused make any statement as to the validity of the cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did he say in regard to it, whether it was all right or not?

A. He said that his friend had given him this cheque to have it cashed in town.

Q. What was his urgent need to get the money?

A. He said that the Banks were closed and that the boat would be leaving in the morning, and he could not get it cashed in the Bank.

Q. Did he say he would guarantee the cheque or otherwise?

A. He said if you find that the cheque is no good I will repay you.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask this witness any questions?



5.

ACCUSED: no questions.

Q. Kee Kit you went to the bank with this cheque and tried to get it cashed?

A. I took it up to the bank.

Q. What did they tell you?

A. There was no such name.

Q. No such name as Dixon?

A. Yes.

FRANK A. JACKSON, called as a witness, being first sworn, testified as follows:

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD:

Q. You have seen this cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. You took it to the Northern Crown Bank?

A. Yes.

Q. What did they tell you about it?

A. That they knew no such name as Dixon.

Q. They had no such account at the Bank, no funds?

A. No.

THE COURT: Having heard the evidence do you wish to say anything in answer to the charge? You are not obliged to say anything unless you desire to do so; but whatever you say will be taken down in writing and may be given in evidence against you at your trial. You must clearly understand that you have nothing to hope from any promise and nothing to fear from any threat which may have been held out to you ~~can~~ to induce you to make any admission or confession of guilt, but whatever you now say may be given in evidence against you upon your trial, notwithstanding such promise or threat.





ACCUSED; I will reserve my statement.

THE COURT: I commit you for trial at the next Court of Competent  
Criminal Jurisdiction.

CERTIFIED by me as being a true and correct copy of the  
depositions taken before me at the hearing of the above cause.

signed C. J. South.  
One of H. M. Justices of the Peace  
in and for the City of Vancouver  
in the Province of British Columbia.



190.....

.....*Assizes.*

*Copy of Depositions in re*

REX

VS.

*Lac Chung*

*Offence* .....

19  
//



Lee & Lai Chung . Fake Notes

Thomas Watson

Interpretation - sums

Lee not guilty

I would not have given the money  
unless the accused had promised  
to make the money good

Two of my servants are in the place  
saw people to buy goods

Wong Lee Kit was there

Statement of accused -

Wong Chun gam <sup>8</sup> was  
to buy opium in the shop =



John Adams      Law & Sea Camp

Thomas Water      Scientific - same

John G. Phillips

97  
11

I would not have given the amount  
under the several best friends  
to take the money from

for of my amount was in the year  
could have had my job

and the bill was then

Abstract of accounts  
and then from the year  
to my office in the office

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia.  
Oyer and Terminer and General Gaol Delivery.

CANADA

Province of British Columbia,  
County of Vancouver,  
City of Vancouver.

The Jurors for Our Lord the King present that  
Lai Chung at the City of Vancouver in the County of Vancouver  
in the Province of British Columbia on the twenty-eighth day  
of November in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred  
and ten unlawfully and by false pretences did obtain from one  
Quong Chin the sum of Eight hundred dollars with intent thereby  
then to defraud and injure the said Quong Chin against the  
form of the Statute in such case made and provided and against  
the peace of Our Lord the King His Crown and Dignity.

*See p 329*  
*see p 332*

I hereby direct that Counsel  
acting for the Crown at the  
Vancouver Spring Assizes, 1911,  
prefer the above Bill of  
Indictment to the Grand Jury.

Attorney-General.



Remanded to Saturday Decr. 3rd.

Mr. Bloomfield appeared for prosecution.

GENERAL FORM OF INFORMATION OR OF COMPLAINT ON OATH.

---

Canada

Province of British Columbia

District or County of Vancouver.

The information of Wong Kee Kit, of the Township of Vancouver, in the District or County of Vancouver, taken upon oath before me, the undersigned, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the said District or County of Vancouver, at Vancouver, in the said District or County of Vancouver, this 30th. day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and -- who saith that (he hath Just cause to suspect and believe, and doth suspect and believe that) Lai Ching, at the City of Vancouver, on the 28th. day of November, A. D. 1910, <sup>did</sup> obtain from Quong Chin by false pretences the sum of eight hundred dollars with intent to defraud. Contrary to the form of the statute in such case made and provided.

Wong Kee Kit.

Taken and sworn before me the day and year and at the place above mentioned.

signed C. J. South. J. P.

Committed to take his trial at the next Court of Competent Jurisdiction.

STATEMENT OF THE ACCUSED.

Canada  
Province of British Columbia  
County of Vancouver  
City of Vancouver.

Lai Ching stands charged before the undersigned C. J. South, one of His Majesty's Justices of the Peace in and for the County aforesaid, this 3rd. day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ten, for that the said Lai Ching, on the 28th. day of November, 1910, at Vancouver did obtain from Quong Chin the sum of eight hundred dollars with intent to defraud.

And the said charge being read to the said Lai Ching and the witnesses for the prosecution being severally examined in his presence the said Lai Ching is now addressed by me as follows:- "Having heard the evidence do you wish to say anything in answer to the charge? You are not obliged to say anything unless you desire to do so; but whatever you say will be taken down in writing and may be given in evidence against you at your trial. You must clearly understand that you have nothing to hope from any promise of favor and nothing to fear from any threat which may have been held out to you to induce you to make any admission or confession of guilt, but whatever you now say may be given in evidence against you upon your trial, notwithstanding such promise or threat."

Whereupon the said Lai Ching says as follows:-

"I will reserve my statement."

Taken before me at the City of Vancouver, the day and year first above mentioned.

signed C. J. South J.P.



P O L I C E   C O U R T.  
(Before C. J. South, Esq., J. P.)

Vancouver, B. C. December 3, 1910.

Canada  
Province of British Columbia  
County of Vancouver  
City of Vancouver.

REX vs LAI CHUNG.

LAI CHUNG charged on the information of WONG KEE KIT  
of the City of Vancouver on the 30th. November, 1910, for  
that/<sup>at</sup>the said City of Vancouver on the 28th. day of November  
A. D. 1910, Lai Chung did obtain from Quong Chin the sum of  
wight hundred dollars (\$800) with intent to defraud.

Contrary to the form of the statute in such case  
made and provided.

MR. BLOOMFIELD/,      Counsel for the prosecution.  
MR. L. H. HEANEY,      sworn as stenographer.



2.

QUONG CHIN, called as a witness, being first sworn testified as follows:-

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD:

Q. What is your name?

A. Quong Chin.

Q. Do you know the accused Chung?

A. I do.

Q. How long have you known him?

A. A little over a year.

Q. Have you ever seen that before? (Presenting cheque on the Northern Crown Bank for \$800.)

A. Yes.

~~/~~ (Cheque introduced as Exhibit "A")

Q. Where did you see it?

A. In my store.

Q. Who had it?

A. The accused had it.

Q. What did he do with it?

A. He asked me to change it.

Q. Did he say it was a good cheque or otherwise?

A. Yes, he did.

Q. THE COURT: Did he say it was a good cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. Did he say he would guarantee it?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you pay him \$800 for it?

A. ~~Yes.~~ I did.

Q. Did you ever get any money for the cheque?

A. No.

Q. What day was this?



3.

A. Tuesday at half past three.

THE COURT: Tuesday the 29th. of November?

A. Yes.

Q. What reason did he give you for wanting to dash that cheque?

A. He said it was too late for the bank and he wanted to go away the next day, and wanted it cashed.

Q. He urged you to cash it?

A. Yes.

Q. He assured you it was all right?

A. Yes.

Q. Where did you find this man the next morning?

A. On the steamer.

Q. How did you get him off? You actually put up the \$500 head tax to bring him off?

A. Yes.

Q. He was off to China then the next morning?

A. Well, he is a member of the crew of the boat.

Q. Has the man ever admitted anything to you since he was arrested? Did he offer to settle or anything of that kind?

A. The accused told me he got the money and turned it over to a friend.

Q. And he had no money on him when they arrested him?

A. No.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask the witness any questions.

ACCUSED: I told him that was not my cheque. A man asked me to go up and get it cashed for him, and he told me to go to Quong Chin's place.

MR. BLOOMFIELD: What man was this he said gave him this cheque?



4.

A. He told me his friend sent him up.

Q. A friend from the boat?

A. Yes.

Q. A Chinese friend?

A. I do not know. He said his friend.

WONG KEE KIT, called as a witness being first sworn, testified as follows:-

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD: /

Q. What is your name?

A. Wong Kee Kit.

Q. You were present in Wong Chin's store when he paid the accused \$800?

A. Yes I was present.

Q. You saw the money paid?

A. I did.

Q. Did you hear the accused make any statement as to the validity of the cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. And what did he say in regard to it, whether it was all right or not?

A. He said that his friend had given him this cheque to have it cashed in town.

Q. What was his urgent need to get the money?

A. He said that the Banks were closed and that the boat would be leaving in the morning, and he could not get it cashed in the Bank.

Q. Did he say he would guarantee the cheque or otherwise?

A. He said if you find that the cheque is no good I will repay you.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask this witness any questions?



5.

ACCUSED: no questions.

Q. Kee Kit you went to the bank with this cheque and tried to get it cashed?

A. I took it up to the bank.

Q. What did they tell you?

A. There was no such name.

Q. No such name as Dixon?

A. Yes.

FRANK A. JACKSON, called as a witness, being first sworn, testified as follows:

Questions by MR. BLOOMFIELD:

Q. You have seen this cheque?

A. Yes.

Q. You took it to the Northern Crown Bank?

A. Yes.

Q. What did they tell you about it?

A. That they knew no such name as Dixon.

Q. They had no such account at the Bank, no funds?

A. No.

THE COURT: Having heard the evidence do you wish to say anything in answer to the charge? You are not obliged to say anything unless you desire to do so; but whatever you say will be taken down in writing and may be given in evidence against you at your trial. You must clearly understand that you have nothing to hope from any promise and nothing to fear from any threat which may have been held out to you ~~for~~ to induce you to make any admission or confession of guilt, but whatever you now say may be given in evidence against you upon your trial, notwithstanding such promise or threat.



ACCUSED; I will reserve my statement.

THE COURT: I commit you for trial at the next Court of Competent  
Criminal Jurisdiction.

CERTIFIED by me as being a true and correct copy of the  
depositions taken before me at the hearing of the above cause.

signed C. J. South.  
One of H. M. Justices of the Peace  
in and for the City of Vancouver  
in the Province of British Columbia.



1911  
Vancouver Spring Assizes

Rex  
Lae Chung  
(False Pretences.)

Crown Brief

May 18-1911

Judith:  
Guilty  
O. N. M. M. W.

