

Elliot  
91

Produce & Trade

Rd 6<sup>th</sup> Sep 64

F516  
1

Sir  
N<sup>o</sup> 649  
Kellond B B  
2<sup>nd</sup> September 1864

The expenditure of Six Pounds seven  
£6. 9. 9<sup>1</sup> shillings and six pence—during the last week  
for labor on the Seaton Lake road, became necessary  
owing to the Caving-in of several places in the  
embankment. While waiting for authority to  
expend the money all traffic would have been  
stopped.

Under these circumstances I hope  
this item of expenditure will be sanctioned.

I should be glad to have instructions as  
to how I am to act in such cases for the  
future.

I have the honor to be  
Sir,  
Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>  
A. Elliott

Hon. A. W. Birch  
Col Secy  
New Westminster

*Shaw  
Oct 15*

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - NOV.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Elliot  
92

Pre-empt: nos.

R<sup>1</sup> b' set?

F516  
2

Gilbert B. C.  
3<sup>rd</sup> Septemb. 1864

Dear Sir,  
A Mr. Flynn has purchased  
two pre-emption rights in Cut-off Valley, one  
containing 160 Acres & the other 14 Acres, in all  
174 Acres.

Mr. Flynn had had the land  
surveyed by Messrs. Clark & Turnbull, Surveyors,  
and had tendered to me the purchase  
money of  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per acre.

The 18<sup>th</sup> Section of the Pre-emption  
Act states that a sum not exceeding  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per  
acre must be paid on the "Government Survey  
of the land." I have therefore declined to accept the  
money.

At Mr. Flynn's urgent request  
I write to know if I am right in declining to  
receive payment for the land.

Hon. A. S. Birch  
C<sup>l</sup> Secy  
Red Westminster

I have the honor to be  
Dear Sir,  
Yours most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>  
A. Elliott

Replied to - 17. Oct

Recd 8 Sept

Mr. Elliott was perfectly right  
in refusing this money and  
for the reason he says.

Had it been for  
purchase of land according  
to a prescription claim - for  
such land he might have  
received 2/3<sup>d</sup> an acre at once  
and the balance on the  
purchase reaching. Otherwise  
not.

Russell H. Newbren  
8 Sep 1864

Very respectfully to Henry James  
to have the proceeds to report upon  
S. W.

P.

7 Sept 1864.  
Edw. J. J.

C.S.O.

12. Sept. 64

(608)

*Elliott*

Vv. With reference to your letter of the  
13<sup>th</sup> September I am directed by  
the Governor to acquaint you  
that the Attorney General has  
reported that you were perfectly  
right in refusing the money tendered  
by Mr. Flynn on payment of a  
prescription claim to which  
the Government Survey had  
not assented. With  
reference to prescription claims  
the only money you would  
be entitled to receive would  
be 2/1 per acre for any  
land contiguous to such

manuscript of 160 acres of  
a pre-empted claim

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Yours W. Elliott of the Albany  
General's Office. We want  
you to send out some more

W. E.

11 Apr. 1864

M. W.

11 Apr. 1864

and 2 1/2 cent. 70

F 576  
22  
Lillooet B.C.  
23<sup>rd</sup> Septemb. 1864

Sir,

I have only received two tenders for  
the building of the Bridge over the Little  
11845.00 Portage one of Robert Mc Intosh for \$11845.00  
11700.00 the other from Messrs Marshall & Samison for \$11700.00

The original tender of Messrs Marshall  
& Samison was given for bridge only 12 feet wide  
with 3 inch plankings, the plan supplied from  
your department calls for 15 feet in width and  
4 inch plankings, which makes some difference  
both in the price of the timber, and its carriage  
from Lillooet, a distance of about 21 Miles.

The fact of similar bridges  
being built by yourself on the Gale-Lyttle  
Road for a much smaller amount, may be  
accounted for by the fact of your having all  
the

J. W. Hutchings Esq.  
C. C. L. 46  
Surveyor General



the appliances for such work already on the ground and labour at a cheaper rate than it can now be obtained for here.

Perhaps some one at New Westminster could be induced to take the work at the price specified.

My original calculation of \$1000. was based on the fact that the Tramway bridge now on the Creek cost that sum. This bridge however is only about 9 feet wide, without plankings, and I have lately ascertained that the contractor lost money by it.

It is of the utmost importance that a new bridge should be immediately proceeded with at any price.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A. Elliott

\$1100 appears to me a large sum for  
this work. Mr. South's calculator  
\$2000 - I would suggest that  
before accepting so large a  
sum, a student might be  
invited in New York

J. H. P.

8/4/64

Fromer papers

24/9/64

Very best of Mr. Palmer's  
with his suggestions at once.

R.

Ed. J. J.

10 Sept 1864

1864

A. C. Elliott  
Adm. 3 Sept 1864.

Dr. J. H. P.  
Building a Building  
for the College, Mt.  
Dora.

21.

1864.

Elliot. 70.

F 516  
25

Recd Sept No 648.

Lilliech B.C.  
6<sup>th</sup> September 1874

To  
A. Proby has purchased two  
preemption claims rights in Bch offhally  
one containing 14 acres & the other 18 acres in all  
174 acres  
Proby has had the land surveyed by  
Messrs Proby & Stumhill, sworn Surveyors, and  
has tendered some of the purchase money of 25/6 per  
acre

The 13<sup>th</sup> section of the preemption  
Act states that a sum not exceeding 50/6 per acre  
must be paid to the Government Surveyor of the  
Land. I have therefore declared to accept the  
money

As Proby's agent request I write to  
know if I am right in declining to receive payment  
for the land

Here the honor to  
A. C. Elliott

A. March Esq. Collee &  
Sub-administrator

I request the Attorney General to have the goodness  
to report on this  
Yours  
A.C.

Col Secy. 7. September 1874

Recd. Sept.  
Mr. Elliott was perfectly right in refusing to  
take the money and for the reason he alleges.  
Had it been for purchase of land  
contiguous to a preemption claim - for such land  
he might have received 25/6 an acre at least  
and the balance on the survey speaking of ground not  
N.P.C. & 24<sup>th</sup> 1874

Elliott  
93

Q<sup>r</sup> to Sect

Magistrate

J. 668

F516  
3

Sulloot 136

6<sup>th</sup> September 1864

Sir

Will you permit me to substitute  
the accompanying Requisition for the one  
forwarded a few days since for the August  
disbursements, in which I find I have made  
some omissions

I have the honor to be

Sr

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A. C. Elliott

Hon A. R. Birch  
Col<sup>l</sup> Sect<sup>y</sup>  
New Westminster

*Dear Mrs. F. Elliott  
substance.*

*ED*

*11/14/64*

*F. A. Elliott*

Elliott  
94

B<sup>d</sup> 10 Oct

220-

F516  
4

A. C. Elliott  
1<sup>st</sup> September 1864

Sir

Mr. Cony, the collector of Mead  
Tolls, who had ~~been~~ suffering considerably for  
some days, <sup>Sept</sup> requested me to take charge  
of the collection of Mead Tolls, for a short time  
he afforded him an opportunity of going below for  
medical advice

This I do most willingly and  
in accordance with his request write to acquaint  
you with the fact.

Enclosed is a copy of Mr. Cony's letter  
to me.

I have the honor to be

Dr.  
Your most obt. Servt.  
A. C. Elliott

Hon. A. M. Birch  
Col. Secy.  
New Westminster

Replied to 12 Oct

There are objections.  
D.  
11 Sept. 1864.  
M. J. P.

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Copy

Vol 1252

Lillooet B.C.

6 Sep. 1864.

Sir

I have been suffering the last three days from severe pains in the head and being unable to obtain any relief from Surgeons in this place. Am under the necessity of proceeding to Victoria to obtain advice.

Would you be good enough to make such arrangements for the collection of Road Tolls during my absence as may seem best to you for the due discharge of my office as 'Road Toll Collector', at the same time acquaint the Colonial Secretary of the urgency of my request for leave of absence on account of sickness.

I have the honor to be

Sr

Your most obedt. Servt.

(signed) B. Conroy

A. Elliott Esq. R.P.

Lillooet



666

C.S.O

12. Sept. 64

Secret

Vr. With reference to your letter of  
the 6<sup>th</sup> instant regarding Mr  
Conroy's application for leave  
~~I am desisted~~ in account of  
sickness, I am directed by the  
Governor to acquaint you  
that he has no objection to  
Mr Conroy visiting Victoria for  
a few days to obtain proper  
medical advice.

70 -

A. C. Elliott Esq 70

Secret

Elliot  
95

Magistrate - office supplies

F516  
5

P. 10 let

18717

S. Elliott B.C.

8 Septemb. 1864

Sir  
22. Sep 64

I am much in want of a  
pair of Gold Scales capable of weighing  
about 120 ~~oz~~ lbs. those at present in use at  
this office are small and imperfect.

I also require a supply of  
wholesale and retail Legion Secundes found.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A. C. Elliott

Autog. 22. Sept.

Hon A. C. Birch  
Col. Secy  
New Westminster.

*Mr. J. P.*  
*21. 4. 1864*  
*Wm. Elliott.*

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

C. V. C. Mr  
22 September 1864

717

Sup.

Mr  
I am directed by the Governor  
to give my thanks to  
to ~~the~~ ~~house~~ ~~of~~ ~~representatives~~ for purchasing a  
pair of gold scales, as requested  
in your letter of the 8<sup>th</sup> instant.

H. A. Elliott, Secy.

Elliott  
98

Tolls.

R 20 Wt  
Sillcock D.C.  
9<sup>th</sup> September 1864

F516  
6

Sir,

Pending the collection of Road  
Tolls too much work for my limited  
Staff I have sent for Mr. Pope to take  
Mr. Conroy's place at Sillcock and for Mr.  
Champness to come down from Clinton to the  
2<sup>nd</sup> Mile Toll-house.

Trusting that this arrangement  
will meet with His Excellency's approbation.

I have the honor to be

Sir  
Your most obed<sup>t</sup>. Serv<sup>t</sup>  
A. C. Elliott

Hon. A. G. Birch  
Col<sup>l</sup>. Secy.  
New Westminster.

Elliott  
99

21 Sep

Reserve - Indian

F516  
7

No 727  
Lillooet B.C.

16<sup>th</sup> September 1864

Sir

In compliance with the instructions contained in the Colonial Secretary's letter of the 20<sup>th</sup> July, I start tomorrow on a tour through the District to lay out Indian Reserves. I have been unable, through press of business to leave earlier.

I have the honor to be

Sr

Your obedient Servant

A. Elliott

Hon. A. W. Birch  
Col. Secy.  
L. C. L.  
New Westminster

Elliot  
97

2156

766

F516  
B  
Littrock B. C.  
16<sup>th</sup> Septemb. 1864

Sir

I am in receipt of a communication from Mr. Rind enclosing copy of a letter from the Colonial Office, bearing date the 7<sup>th</sup> inst. with reference to the transfer of a Mr. Coo from Douglas to this district.

I am at a loss to know in what capacity I am to employ Mr. Coo, as no vacancy of any kind at present exists in this District.

In my letter of the 8<sup>th</sup> Inst. I had the honor to state for His Excellency's information, that owing to Mr. Coo's departure, I had sent for Mr. Champredon to

How. A. W. Birch  
Colonial Secy.  
West Westminster

Yours  
Litt

take charge of the High-bridge at the 2<sup>nd</sup>  
Mile Toll house. Since Mr. Champness'  
arrival I have ascertained, that large quantities  
of grain and other produce grown on both  
sides of road are daily passing through  
Clinton. Under these circumstances I  
have thought it expedient to instruct Mr.  
Champness to return to Clinton; by this  
change I am enabled to procure employment  
for Mr. Cur at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Mile Toll house, and  
have caused him to be instructed by Mr. Champness  
in his duties.

I have the honor to be  
Sir  
Yours most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>  
A. Elliott



Elliott  
98

21 Sep

Magistrate-Salaries

F516  
9

Belmont B. C.  
16<sup>th</sup> Septemb. 1864  
Sir

I have lately received a communication from the Treasurer, stating that a disallowance of £50 is made in my accounts for September 1862, which £50 it is alleged I have twice charged. I have written for further information on the subject, previous to refunding the amount.

The disallowance of the 2<sup>nd</sup> item I cannot fully understand. I presume it had reference to a charge of £2 5<sup>s</sup> for travelling allowance while absent from fixed residence holding an Inquest at Pemberton Postage. Another charge of £2 5<sup>s</sup> is made for an earlier period in the month when I was delayed on Government business for

Hon. A. St. Bouché  
Col. Secy  
h h h

Hon.

Three days at Douglas

With reference to the charge of 15/- per diem while travelling to hold an Inquest I beg to say that I travelled upwards of fifty miles from residence, was absent three days at a cost to myself much beyond the allowance claimed.

If it is determined that no charge for travelling allowance can be made on such occasions I must decline leaving Sillcock to hold Inquests in future, my salary not being sufficient to enable me to pay the expenses incurred out of my own pocket.

The escape of prisoners guilty of murder would in all probability be the result of an enquiry being held in cases of sudden death.

I have the honor to be

Sr

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A Elliott

Copy

Extract from Audit Office Quor<sup>rs</sup>  
or Treasurer's Accounts for September 1862

No 301  
Police Magistrate  
Sillcock  
Suboucher to 5  
£3-

Travelling Expenses of  
Mr Flynn, charged  
twice. Refund  
required

3

No 365  
Police Magistrate  
Sillcock  
Suboucher  
£4 10/-

Travelling allowance of  
15/- per day charged once  
and above the usual fee  
of £2-  
£4 10/- disallowed  
and Refund required

4

Walter,

Please inform me if this is o.k.

The first item requires to be refunded  
as there is no doubt it has been  
twice changed -

The second item of 4.10/-  
is for 6 days travelling allowance  
which obviously has been  
holding a Governor's highest

The actual fee of £2-

is likewise changed -

happ' case when the

fee is changed the

travelling allowance

has not been

granted

(All)

2/11/64

64

Elliott  
100

21 Sep

Public works & Buildings - Land.

F 516  
10

Elliot B. C.

16<sup>th</sup> September 1864

Sir,

Sept 6 & 8 64

The lots which were reserved  
some years ago for Public Buildings  
are situated <sup>at</sup> the worst possible place  
in the town. Owing to which I some  
time since suggested that a piece of  
ground containing five acres, reserved  
for a public square, would be the most  
suitable site for Public Buildings.

I am still of opinion that no  
better site can be selected.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A. Elliott

Hon. A. R. Birch  
Col<sup>l</sup> Secy  
New Westminster

1728.1

Elliot

C.S.O.

26. Sep. 1864

Vvi, With reference to your letter of the  
16 instant <sup>17/2/64</sup> regard to the site  
for Public Buildings at Litch  
I have the honor to request, whether  
you will ascertain  
the owners of the town lots round  
the square on which it is proposed  
to erect public buildings have  
any objection to the addition  
square in question being used  
for that purpose

To, A.C. Elliot Esq. D.

Refered to the Senate records for the  
Department for information as to whether  
any Mexican credit to the Spanish  
Government being used for public buildings

Amelia front  
for Mr. McMillan.

The name of the firm the  
concerning the Spanish  
should be consulted as  
the subject by the  
Magistrate's Department  
29/9/64.  
G. W. A.

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Elliott  
102

Courts

F 516  
11

R<sup>d</sup> 8<sup>th</sup> Oct 64

N. J. Elliott B. C.

3<sup>rd</sup> October 1864

Sir

In answer to your communication of the 5<sup>th</sup> Ult<sup>o</sup> I beg to state for His Excellency's information that I have never kept an account of the fees received by me as Deputy Registrar of the Supreme Court.

I have on all papers connected with Supreme Court matters to the Registrar of the Court. the fees have been very trifling and I have only held the office for the public accommodation.

I have the honor to be

Sr  
Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>  
A. Elliott

Hon. A. W. Birch  
Col Sec  
Lt Col  
Genl Westminster

Elliott  
1044

Police Magistrate's Office  
Lillooet B.C.  
October 4 1864

R. S. Locky

M. J. D.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Mr. Bue has performed on his duty as second constable <sup>of this district</sup>. With Mr. Bonrime who is of course now discharged, I had arranged to pay the sum of \$4 per diem, the usual salary of Special Constables, however not having received, for so long a time, any objection to his appointment, I paid him only \$16 per month, being under the impression that he would be allowed to remain on the permanent staff, Mr. Bonrime laboured under a similar impression, had declined other employment.

I cannot think that His Excellency the Governor can be aware of the great inconvenience to which the substitution of Mr. Bue for Mr. Bonrime makes me liable.

I have two distinct sets of accounts to return to the Treasury, which I believe no other Magistrate in

Hon. A. N. Birch  
Cdt. Sec  
New Westminster

the



the Colony has to do, and in keeping  
which accounts I was rendered great  
service by Mr. Benrims while Mr. Lue  
is absolutely useless to me

Mr. Benrims was becoming  
perfectly acquainted with the form and  
system of accounts, as well as with  
Post Office matters.

I should feel very  
grateful to His Excellency the Governor  
if he would be pleased, under all the  
circumstances, to authorize me to retain  
Mr. Benrims as clerk and Post Master,  
if Mr. Lue is not transferred to some  
other district.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient servant

A. Elliott

Misc 92

Colonial Secretary's Office,  
New Westminster.

*sent*

13<sup>th</sup> October, 1864.

Sir, *10/24*

I am directed to acquaint  
you, in reply to your letter of the  
6<sup>th</sup> instant on the subject of the  
non-confirmation of Mr. Bourne's  
appointment as Second Constable  
in your District, that the Governor  
promoted, on your recommendation,  
Mr. Sharwood, in order to compensate  
in some way for your taking over  
Mr. Gue who was on his hands

A. C. Elliott Esquire, C.P. for  
Lillooet.

for employment. —

2. With reference however to that portion of your letter which reports your inability to keep your accounts without the aid of a Constable who understands accounts, I am desired to observe that the Magistrates are expected to keep their own accounts, without aid. The Constables are appointed for the purpose of preserving the peace of the several Districts, and should no other necessity exist for their retention than that

that of using their services as clerks they should be discharged. <sup>in Harwood</sup> your Chief Constable was <sup>appointed</sup> appointed on your own nomination and it is to that effect you should more properly look to for any aid in completing your accounts.

3. As however you strongly recommend Mr. Bewins, His Excellency will bear him in mind in the event of a vacancy occurring. —  
I have the honor to be,  
Sir,  
your most obedient servant,  
C. G.  
You receive a Special Payment of £100 per annum in compensation for your superintending the Roads & all accounts in addition to your own, and that you cannot therefore have any claim on that score for clerical assistance.

Y<sup>rs</sup> mounted to Mr. Elliott's letter  
available in his summer letter  
as compared to his  
letter was all the other  
was in my hands. Y<sup>rs</sup>  
do not see why the  
hydrochloric acid  
is not used  
as a remedy.  
I will leave  
it to the  
physicians  
in hand  
Y<sup>rs</sup>  
P. S.  
M. S.

Elliott  
103

Passes & Trails

Lillooet Falls 16  
October 4<sup>th</sup> 1864

roads

out by  
the  
the

N 874

Document by G. W. G. 1864

The enclosed memorial  
has been handed to me to forward  
to His Excellency the Governor

I happened to travel  
by the trail referred to, on my  
recent visit to Alkali Lake, I  
believe it would shorten the distance  
to Soda Creek by at least 35 or 40  
miles. I am of opinion that the  
opening of this trail would not only  
be of great service to the Lillooet  
Route, but to the whole country

I have the honor to be

Sir  
Your obedient servant  
A. Elliott

Hon. A. N. Birch  
Ed. Sec  
re re re  
New Westminster

To His Excellency Friedrich Deymann

Governor of British Columbia &c, &c, &c.

The memorial of <sup>14</sup> ~~15~~ petitioners respectfully  
sheweth,

That at a meeting held at Alton for the purpose of improving the road to the Cariboo mines, it was resolved to adopt a trail to be made at the 36 mile post to come out at Williams Lake, the trail running between the old river trail and the wagon road through a country well adapted for agriculture and producing better food for animals than either at present frequented. — The main object in adopting this new route will be attained in the distance saved to travellers or forty miles in the entire distance or six days in the round trip to packers — Your memorialists have received a subscription in the room at the meeting, amounting to six hundred and thirty five dollars.

A resolution was carried to respectfully request your Excellency to aid us in the enterprise by contributing £200.0.0 for the purpose

And your petitioners will ever pray.

J. M. Foster

Edwin Jones

H. J. Featherstonehaugh

Alton October 14<sup>th</sup> 1864

(over)

Mr Kennedy, D.M., D.L., D.C.  
Q. V. Roberts.

Landon & Marcuse

Smith

W. S. M. & Latchy

Cohen & Hoffmann

Fisk & ...

Ben. Friedman

Charles Nelson

George Confort

Geo. Williams

A. Hardee

George Dunal

Joseph. Parker

Edward H. Kott

H. Roberts

J. G. ...

Kenny Lee

Robert. Sprout

George I. Baillie

Louis Cox

Donald M. ...

George ...

J. H. P. ...

Sp. Off. ...

G. ...

H. ...

A. J. ...

... & ...

R. P. ...

... ..

William Bowie

& ...

W. ...

E. ...

Edward Kelly

John ...

J. Davis

... ..

H. ...

Lorenzo ...

Wm. ...

J. ...

814

C.S.O. NW  
14<sup>th</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> 1864Dear Sir

Vr. In reference to your letter  
forwarding a Petition from  
the inhabitants of Lilloet for  
aid in making a shorter  
<sup>route</sup> road to the upper Country  
through your Pass land of 4  
miles from 36 mile <sup>post</sup> house  
to Williams Lake I am  
directed by the Governor to  
request you will acquaint  
the Petitioners that he is  
prepared to afford the  
assistance sought namely \$200  
on your being satisfied of the  
bona fide nature of the  
transaction

A. C. Elliott Esq  
Lilloet



transaction and you  
are authorized to hand to  
the Collector on the completion  
of the road and not before  
~~the sum~~ of the above named  
sum. Justify the date  
of this authority in your  
Report -

2. You are to take care  
that the Roads Tolls are  
not prejudicial by this  
concession -

They obtain the printing plates  
I am very glad to hear  
of them.  
P.S.  
Sat Dec 11  
1864

12  
I will be glad to hear  
from you when you  
write.  
P.S.  
12  
1864

I consider this  
of benefit to the  
Board of the  
G. W. Johnson  
12 Oct 1864

Elliot  
103

Courts.

L Elliott F 516  
October 8<sup>th</sup> 1864

R<sup>y</sup> 17006

N<sup>o</sup> 831

Sir,

Some Forms of Summons to  
Defendant to appear in the County  
Court are required at this Office  
immediately

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient Servant

for A. C. Elliott  
21 St. James Wood

Hon. A. N. Birch  
C. C. Sec.

re re re

New Westminster

107

P 12 000

Public Works, 1864/5  
Lillooet B.C. 16  
October 8<sup>th</sup> 1864/5

N-833

Sir,  
In reply to your enquiry  
of the 26<sup>th</sup> ultimo I have the honor to  
report for His Excellency's information  
that the owners of Lots immediately  
adjoining the Square on which it is  
proposed to erect Government Offices,  
entertain no objection to the Square  
in question being used for that pur-  
pose.

I have the honor to be  
Sir,  
Your obedient Servant  
A. Elliott

Hon. A. N. Birch  
Cdt. Secy  
New Westminster

There will be no deposit  
completed.

P.

1254 1864

Col. H. J. Elliott  
1864

Elliott  
106

2004

Salaries

8276

Lillicoat P.O.  
October 8<sup>th</sup> 1864

Sir,  
 Enclosed is a letter of W. S. Lewis  
 in reference to some back pay to  
 which he considers himself entitled.  
 I have declined paying the  
 amount without authority, as no  
 service was rendered in my district.

I have the honor to be  
 Sir  
 Your obedient servant  
 A. Elliott

Hon. A. N. Birch  
 Col. Sec  
 re re re  
 New Westminster

Dr. J. C. is with the little school  
beg. to see as a new person  
handwritten name Wm. 1874

W.D.

1864. 1884

Col. M. W.

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Lillooet <sup>4<sup>th</sup></sup> Oct 1864

Sir

I have the honor to state  
that I received ~~the~~ pay from the  
15<sup>th</sup> of August last until the 15<sup>th</sup>  
of September awaiting the Governor's  
decision in my case, and would  
now most respectfully solicit you  
to authorize the payment of my  
back pay, owing to the heavy  
expenses attending travelling in  
this country I would also beg  
to be allowed some travelling  
expenses from Douglas to this  
Station

The Hon

The Colonial Secretary  
New Westminster

I have the honor to be

Sir  
Your most obt. Servant  
Joseph Que-  
Cointable



C. S. O

73. Oct. 1864

Book

527

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the  
8<sup>th</sup> inst. forwarding a letter  
from Mr Cue making  
application for some back pay  
which he considered was due  
to him I am directed by the  
Government to request you  
will acquaint Mr Cue  
that His Excellency is not  
of opinion that Mr Cue  
is entitled to such back  
pay or to his travelling  
expenses his re-appointment

A. C. Elliott Esq, J. S.

Shewry

Having been a ~~mere~~ matter -  
of fact -

Elliott  
108

R<sup>o</sup> 26 Oct

Electors

Lillooet B.C.  
October 19<sup>th</sup> 1864

N<sup>o</sup> 905

Sir,

I have to report for the information of His Excellency, that, at a meeting held at Lillooet on the 14<sup>th</sup> instant, Mr. Henry Holbrook was unanimously selected as representative to the Legislative Council.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

A. Elliott

Hon Colonial Secretary

New Westminster

Patty  
ansB  
29  
ansB/ky

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Elliott  
169

1830 Oct 26

Court

File 516  
Lillooet 1864  
October 26, 1864

1830

Sir,

The form of County Court Summons required was the ordinary one and not the Bill of Exchange form which is never used here. I enclose a summons of the kind required, which please return.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient servant  
for A. C. Elliott  
J. H. Hammond

Hon Colonial Secretary

New Westminster

Recd. 8<sup>th</sup> Nov.

Lillooet <sup>F 576</sup>  
November 1<sup>st</sup> 1864

Sir,

I should have answered your communication of the 7<sup>th</sup> ult before this had I not been absent from Lillooet at the time of its arrival, and since my return I have been very ill.

There was no specification beyond the plan, save that contained in the letter of Mr. Trutch of the 9<sup>th</sup> August last, viz that the bridge was to be a solidly constructed common Truss Bridge with iron suspension bolts.

Acting C. C. Sands Works  
New Westminster

I have the honor to be  
Sir,  
Your obedient Servant  
A. C. Smith

1

20.

1864.

Verifying these was no  
doubt for the things  
are the same things.

A. C. Elliott  
Atlanta, 1 Nov 1864

Elliot  
III

Salario - pol. a.

Lillock B.C.  
November 6<sup>th</sup> 1864

19 Dec

N<sup>o</sup> 1021

Sir,

*Provisional papers*

I have the honor to enclose a copy of a letter from Mr. Bourne.

As I stated in my letter to the Colonial Secretary of the 4<sup>th</sup> ult. I had arranged to pay Mr. Bourne the sum of 16/ per diem, the usual salary of Special constables, but not having received any objection to his appointment for so long a time, I paid him only £16 per month, being under the impression that he would be allowed to remain on the permanent staff.

I also enclose copy

Wm A. N. Birch  
Col. Secy  
New Westminster



of Mr. Navarra's particulars of demand,  
will you favor me with instructions as  
to the payment of it.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

A. C. Elliott

The delay respecting Navarra's  
check from his payment reference  
& my fear respecting Mr. Carr,  
I think his claims excluded  
but I still think the other  
suspectable should  
understand that  
my account  
independence  
will be  
attached  
to them  
Sept 12 1864  
A. C. Elliott  
Colonial Correspondence

2075  
To His Government  
By His Attorney

My services rendered as Special Constable  
at Sillwood during the month of  
July, August and September  
at 16/- per day, as per  
Agreement ... £ 73. 12.-

Sett

Received on acc. three months  
payment @ the rate of £ 192.  
per annum. . . . . 148

Balance due £ 25. 12

Sillwood Bb  
1<sup>st</sup> November 1864

288

Sillouit B.C.  
1<sup>er</sup> Novembre 1864

Sir

I have the honor to have you  
enclosed my account for services rendered to H.  
H. Government as Special Constable during the  
Months of July August and September 1864.

As you will perhaps remember, it was  
agreed when I received my provisional appointment  
from you, that should I not be placed on the  
permanent staff of your establishment my pay was to  
be at the rate of 16/6 per day being the same rate as  
that received by me during a portion of last year when I acted  
as Special Constable at Sillouit.

My appointment as second Constable post  
having been confirmed by the authorities at New Westminster.  
I shall feel obliged by your giving your early attention to the  
present communication.

I have the honor to be

Your most Obedt. Servt.

R. A. Morrison

A. C. Elliott Esq.  
to  
4964 B.C. No.  
Sillouit

4  
From: A. E. Elliot

C. S. S.  
21 Nov 64

Sir

I have received  
and laid before the  
Gov. your letter  
of the 6<sup>th</sup> inst. enclosing  
an application from  
W. Burns for  
payment as Special  
Constable during the  
time that he was  
temporarily employed  
at Lilloet +

I am directed by  
H. E. to inform you  
that under the circumstances

as stated by you  
he is willing to  
sanction the amount  
claimed by W. Heuricus.

3

Elliot  
110

12 Nov

Place 1946 - Bureau of Elliot  
Lillooet B.C. 16  
November 6, 1864

H 977

Sir,

I only this morning learned that an Indian prisoner made his escape from a Special Constable who had him in charge en route from Quonelle Mouth to New Westminster.

The information came to me by accident, as the person in charge of the Indian made no report to me on the subject.

Hon A. N. Bish  
Genl Sec?  
New Westminster

#100"

I have under the circumstances  
offered one hundred dollars reward  
for his apprehension.

Some Indians  
started in pursuit of him this morn-  
ing. As the prisoner has started had  
nearly three days start, the chances  
of catching him are small.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient Servant

A. C. Smith

C. S. O. M.

12. Nov. 1864

977

~~Dear Sir~~  
Sir, In reply to your letter of the  
6<sup>th</sup> instant I am directed  
by the Governor to acquaint  
you that he approves of the  
steps you report having taken  
for the apprehension of a  
prisoner escaped from  
Constable Puri, and of  
your having offered a  
reward of \$100 one  
hundred dollars for  
his recapture

The Hon:

A. C. Elliott & Co.



I suppose that every one  
approved? W. B.  
12/20/64

Elliott  
113

Roads, Trails & Bridges

Lillooet B.C.

November 7<sup>th</sup> 1864

R<sup>o</sup> 19 Nov

N<sup>o</sup> 1020

Thomas Parker

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose  
copy of Messrs Featherstone, Samson  
& Marshall's proposal for building  
a bridge across the Fraser River at  
Lillooet.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient servant

A. Elliott

Wm A. N. Birch  
Col. Secy  
re re re  
New Westminster

If we cannot get five there  
the assurance they require as to  
the African trade?

21. 1864  
10. 1864  
9. 1864  
10. 1864  
11. 1864  
12. 1864  
13. 1864  
14. 1864  
15. 1864  
16. 1864  
17. 1864  
18. 1864  
19. 1864  
20. 1864  
21. 1864  
22. 1864  
23. 1864  
24. 1864  
25. 1864  
26. 1864  
27. 1864  
28. 1864  
29. 1864  
30. 1864  
31. 1864  
32. 1864  
33. 1864  
34. 1864  
35. 1864  
36. 1864  
37. 1864  
38. 1864  
39. 1864  
40. 1864  
41. 1864  
42. 1864  
43. 1864  
44. 1864  
45. 1864  
46. 1864  
47. 1864  
48. 1864  
49. 1864  
50. 1864  
51. 1864  
52. 1864  
53. 1864  
54. 1864  
55. 1864  
56. 1864  
57. 1864  
58. 1864  
59. 1864  
60. 1864  
61. 1864  
62. 1864  
63. 1864  
64. 1864  
65. 1864  
66. 1864  
67. 1864  
68. 1864  
69. 1864  
70. 1864  
71. 1864  
72. 1864  
73. 1864  
74. 1864  
75. 1864  
76. 1864  
77. 1864  
78. 1864  
79. 1864  
80. 1864  
81. 1864  
82. 1864  
83. 1864  
84. 1864  
85. 1864  
86. 1864  
87. 1864  
88. 1864  
89. 1864  
90. 1864  
91. 1864  
92. 1864  
93. 1864  
94. 1864  
95. 1864  
96. 1864  
97. 1864  
98. 1864  
99. 1864  
100. 1864

will see by the enclosed correspondence how anxious  
the Govt. were to get a bridge built at Sillout - I  
think that the Pontifex granted to the Alexandria  
bridge charter was quite sufficient - W. Knight  
tells me the cost will be from £7000 to  
£8000 - I would be for it - I was in  
opposition to build it themselves  
it would have cost £20000  
though there wd be the  
difficulties of the  
Govt. I am  
in the  
Tobacco  
Trade

712  
Copy

New York 4 Oct 1860.

Sir,

The subject of building a bridge at or near Cayook over such a river as the Seneca, and the opinion you desire me to express thereon in a letter received on the 29<sup>th</sup> August, is altogether a matter of such very grave importance, my reply could not be submitted until I could obtain the information necessary to guide me.

I have now the honor to recommend your Excellency not on any account to allow the Contractor to select the site in the way named in the Draft of Public Notice. - The site should be between certain limits defined by the C.C. & W. and be approved by the C. Com: or officer acting under these orders, and should be approved, not only in reference to local circumstances, but to the most convenient direction of the main lines of Wagon communication in that District, and the future construction of such roads. -

The most desirable limits, weighing all circumstances together, appear to be from about half way between Cayook & Bridge river to one & half miles beyond the junction with that river, and within those limits the best place - especially with regard to a future Wagon road and economy in its construction - appears to be immediately beyond the junction of the two rivers, first crossing the Bridge river

His Excellency  
The Governor

by a bridge of an inexpensive character -  
The width of the River at the spot referred  
to is about 50 yards - the best description  
of abutments and good approaches on both sides

I recommend that, coupled with  
Tenders for the Bridge, should be a Wagon  
road, within the limits above referred to  
and in such case the Contractor could  
choose his own spot for the Bridge within  
those limits.

There can be no objection to the  
designs with specification being sent in  
to me by competition - The work is an  
affair of a magnitude & importance which  
will require from parties proposing to tender,  
as well as from myself comparing the  
tenders, a degree of examination and  
consideration, which, if the service is to  
be rightly entered upon with justice to  
those tendering and carried through satis-  
factorily to the Government, will render  
it impracticable to commence at the  
time you anticipate.

It should be made clear  
whether any money is to be paid by Govt  
at all, at the conclusion, or whether  
the sole remuneration, from first to  
last, is to be the tolls for 5 years.

Twenty eight feet would be  
a sufficient width, if there are to be Piers;  
but if the Spans be considerable, requiring  
the Lattice Frame & Arch Rib principle of

construction, Thirty feet would be requisite.  
I would not at all specify the number  
of what in your Draft is termed "compartments"  
- it must entirely depend on the locality.

The gradients of the approaches should  
not be less than 1 in 30 - and should slightly  
ascend to Bridge for a distance of 50 feet,  
and the length of the approaches (known as  
such to Civil Engineers) need not be more  
than 300 yards.

The specification should contain the  
usual conservative clauses, so as to insure  
entire control by the Government Officer  
over the progress and due completion of  
the work.

The selection should not merely be  
according to the lowest tender as to the  
amount of Tolls, but the principle of  
construction and details shown by specifica-  
tion will be material elements with the  
C. & G. in determining his selection, nor  
does he bind himself to accept any tender.

The above points being agreed to, I see  
no objection to Tenders being called for at  
once - the tenders to be sent into his Office  
not later than the 1<sup>st</sup> Inst., and I shall  
feel obliged by receiving your instructions  
accordingly, that I may prepare the  
Notice for insertion in the papers.

I remain, Sir,

Very truly,  
yours,  
R. M. M. M.  
Col. R. G. M. M.

70

Copy

14th Nov 1861.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 26<sup>th</sup> Inst. requesting that the Governor be informed of the present position of circumstances in connection with the proposed bridge over the River at Lillooet, I have the honor to request you to inform His Excellency that I do not know the conditions under which a right of constructing a bridge was granted last year, as no arrangement relative thereto was communicated to the L<sup>td</sup> Dept. - in fact I was not aware that a bridge was in course of construction until I heard of its failure. The whole transaction appears to have been between His Excellency & the Magistrate of the District.

I would call attention to my letter of 4 Oct 1860, to which I received no reply, should His Excellency determine that timber be called for; a recent examination of the locality confirms the views I have there expressed with the exception that I think the bridge might be made rather nearer the town of Lillooet.

I have, &c.

S<sup>t</sup> R. M.

(See over)

The (Colonial Sec<sup>y</sup>?)

### Public Notice.

TENDERS are invited by His Excellency the Governor for the construction of a BRIDGE for the heaviest Wagon Traffic over the Fraser River, near Lillooet.

The Bridge to have not less than a clear available width of 35 feet between the hand-rails, or of 25 feet if formed in two parallel roadways.

The selection of the exact site for the Bridge is left to the jury tendering, but is limited, in point of position from the southern part of the town of Lillooet, to a short distance above the Black in the river, which is about a mile above the town.

Tenders tendering are to forward Designs of the Bridge, they propose to construct, with the necessary reports and specifications in full, also Plans showing the approaches, and a Section of the River at the place where they offer to construct it, showing the highest Flood-tides.

The Designs are to be drawn to a scale of not less than one foot to the inch for the Plan, Sections, &c., of the complete Bridge and approaches, and of not less than four feet in the inch for all details of construction.

All Plans are to have the dimensions figured on them, and the drawings of the details are to be very complete in this respect.

The Gradients of the Approaches should not be less than one in thirty, and must be made to extend upwards the Bridge for a distance of 50 feet measured from it, and the length of the Approaches, which are to be included in the work, and in the tender for the Bridge, are to be 100 yards in length.

The remuneration will be a Charter granting the privilege of Toll for a certain period of years, the amount of Toll and period to be named in each Tender.

In stating the amount of Toll the Tenderer is to be estimated at two thousand five hundred and forty pounds sterling, or forty cubic feet measurement.

The power of exacting Toll will not take effect until the Bridge shall have been completed, accepted by the Chief Commissioner of Lands and Works, or his agent, and the Charter signed.

At the expiration of the period of the Charter, all rights or interest in the whole of the work, will be assigned in favor of the Government of British Columbia.

Any further information that may be required, will be given at this office.

The Government does not bind itself to accept the lowest or any offer, but in considering the Tenders, the Government will be guided by the terms of the Toll, shortest period of Charter, and character of design as to its fitness for the locality, together with the principles of construction, and strength, and correctness of detail.

Tenders to be sent in to the office of the Chief Commissioner of Lands and Works by the 2d of February, 1853, marked "Tender for Lillooet Bridge."

The work to be completed by the 30th June, 1853.

TENDERS precisely as above are requested for a Bridge across the Fraser, the site of which has been fixed about three-fourths of a mile below Chapman's Bar.

By order of His Excellency the Governor,  
R. C. HOODY, Col. R. E.,  
C. C. L. & W.,  
Lands and Works Department, New Westminster,  
18th December, 1852.

R<sup>d</sup> 26 August

N<sup>o</sup> 5099

Silloot B6

28<sup>th</sup> August 1864

Sir

I have the honor to enclose  
Copy of an application received by me  
to day from Mess<sup>rs</sup> H. Peabody  
& J. Marshall.

I know nothing more urgently  
required on this route than a bridge  
across the Grader. The expense  
I should imagine of erecting a proper  
bridge at Silloot would be likely far  
to exceed that of the Gale route bridge  
owing to the extent of land carriage of the  
materials.

I forward copy of the  
application to the Surveyor General.

I have the honor to be

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A. C. Elliott

Hon. A. N. Birch  
Col. Secy  
New Westminster

Replied 1/6/64



Copy

Silloot 136  
August 27<sup>th</sup> 1864

Sir

The want of a bridge over the Fraser River at or near Silloot being very much felt, We hereby propose to erect a suitable wire suspension bridge and are desirous of learning through you the terms on which the Government would grant us a charter for collecting Toll on such bridge

The information we desire is

"What amount of Toll we would be empowered to charge on Goods or Passengers or Cattle horses hogs sheep &c."

"The number of years during which we would be entitled to charge Toll"

"The distance up and down the river measured from the bridge of which we could have the monopoly"

As a great amount of work could be completed during the winter months and as many preparations are needed for so large an undertaking, May we respectfully urge you to hasten the matter on the attention of the Government with all possible speed.

We have the honor to be

J. A. Elliott Esq  
Asst Comm<sup>r</sup> Land Works  
Silloot

Sir  
Your obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>  
Signed J. H. Mathewson  
A. Cameron & V. Howshale

This will be a very important matter  
 and one of the most important  
 effect the value of the Alexander  
 Bridge. Under the circumstances  
 there is no time to refer this  
 letter to the committee  
 Attorney General should  
 be called upon to  
 report. Mr. 13  
 29/9/76  
 I would suggest that  
 however  
 report that the  
 the circumstances of the  
 report of the committee  
 should be sent to the  
 Attorney General  
 for his consideration  
 and that he should  
 report to the  
 committee.

599

CS6

1 September 1864

Sir, In reply  
 with reference to your letter of the  
 23 ult<sup>o</sup> forwarding an  
 application from Messrs Featherstone  
 Johnson and Marshall with reference to  
 the construction of a wire suspension  
 bridge at Lilloet Sam directed by  
 the Governor to request you will  
 ascertain from these gentlemen  
 upon what terms they would  
 erect this bridge, and report  
 the same without delay  
 for His Excellency's information

A. C. Elliott Esq 90  
 Lilloet

Copy

Lillooet B.C.  
November 3<sup>rd</sup> 1864

A. C. Elliott Esq<sup>r</sup>. S.O.  
A.C.L.W.

Sir,

In proposing to build a bridge over the Fraser River at Lillooet, we would respectfully draw the attention of His Excellency the Governor to the following facts: that, a much smaller quantity of freight would naturally pass over a bridge at Lillooet than would over one 100 miles lower down the river. that a considerable quantity of freight would be taken over the river on the ice during three months in the year, and that the expense of erecting a bridge at the proposed place would be much larger than it would be at a point near the head of steam boat navigation.

We would therefore require a charter granting us the privilege of collecting toll for ten years, and to have a monopoly of the river from six miles below Lillooet

to Leon's about twenty five miles above  
Lillooet.

The approaches to the bridge to  
be made by Government.

Previous to entering  
on so expensive an undertaking we would  
like to have some assurance that it is the  
intention of the Government to improve the  
navigation of the Harrison River.

Proposed rates of Toll

Every foot-passenger including pack	25
Horse rider	75
Single horse or mule	50
Ox or horned cattle, per head	50
Sheep, pigs or goats	12 1/2
Goods per lb	1/2
Vehicle drawn by one horse or mule	50
do do two	1.00
do do four	2.50
Passengers riding on vehicles	25
Officers in <sup>uniform</sup> <del>uniform</del>	
All employment of Government	free
Horses, vehicles or freight belonging to Govt.	free

We propose to build a wire suspension bridge  
with a fourteen feet roadway.

We have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient Servants  
(Signed) H. Featherstone  
A. Jamieson  
J. B. Marshall

R 37

New Westminster

December 2, 1864

M. J. S.

Sir,

At your request, I herewith give you my estimate of the probable cost of constructing a Suspension Bridge across the Fraser Riv. and Bridg Riv. and the completion of a wagon road from Lillcutt's "via" said bridge to its point of junction with the Lillcutt-Alexandria Road, about one mile below the Mountain.

In this connection I will state that the distance to be traversed in the event of the construction of the proposed Bridge, will be lessened about  $1\frac{1}{2}$  or 2 miles.

I should undoubtedly conclude  
Yours A. N. Birch,  
Colonial Secretary.

that a charter for 7 years &  
in other respects, coinciding with  
the Charter for the "Alexandria  
Bridg", would be a fair one to  
offer to the projectors of the  
route, with the exclusive right  
of five miles of the river in each  
direction from the Bridge.

I have the honor to remain

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> serv<sup>t</sup>

G. B. Wright.

Estimate.

Cost of wooden Bridge across  
Bridg River 90 feet span - £ 900.

Cost of Wire Suspension Bridge  
across Frazer River - 150 feet span £ 575-0.

cost of wagon Road 15 feet wide.

from Hillatt to Bridg River -

say 4 miles - £ 500.

Cost of Road between bridges £ 200.

" " " from Suspension  
Bridge to junction with

Hillatt-Alexandria Road } £ 900

1 1/4 miles - £ 8,550-

Walter ...

19th Nov. 1864

1864

In order to judge  
the terms of Walter  
to New Zealand  
if it was  
decided to  
print me  
ours  
20th 1

LIGHT ORIGINAL

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC



1020

lett

C S O

19. Jan. 1865

Sir,

I am directed by the Gov  
to request you will acquaint  
Messrs Featherstone and  
Jamieson with regard to  
their proposal for building  
a bridge over the Traian  
at Littleport that His Ex<sup>ty</sup>  
is prepared to recommend  
to the Legislative Council  
that privileges in every respect  
similar to those conceded  
to the Proprietors of the  
Alexandria Mac, be granted  
to the applicants.

Wm:  
a. L. Elliott, J. D.

2. His Excellency

is however unable to  
convey to the applicants  
any assurance in reference  
to the improvement of the  
navigation of the Harrison  
River -

Mr. Elliot be informed that the same  
privileges will be extended to the  
Lillooet Co: as were given to the Alexander!

REC'D  
1 Dec 1864

yes!

T.

19 July 1865

(P. S.)

Elliott  
2/12

Police & Gaols - Barrons - do.

Lillcott B.C.  
November 7<sup>th</sup> 1864

19 Nov

N 1024

Replied to 21 Nov

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a requisition, which was returned to me with some pencil memoranda, which I cannot clearly understand.

I<sup>st</sup> With reference to the disallowance of Nine £9.12.0 pounds, twelve shillings, Mr. Barron's salary, I stated in my letter to the Colonial Secretary of the 16<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> that "I was in receipt of a communication from Mr. Nind, enclosing copy of a letter from the Colonial Office, bearing date the 7<sup>th</sup> inst with reference to the transfer of Mr. Lee from Douglas to this District." I further stated that I was at a loss to know in what capacity I was to employ Mr. Lee, as no vacancy of any kind existed at that

Hon<sup>ble</sup> A. N. Biscoe  
Ct. Secy  
New Westminster

time

time in my district? In concluding my letter I stated, that I was enabled to procure employment for Mr. Cane at the 2<sup>nd</sup> mile Toll House consequent on the absence of Mr. Coney.

On the 17<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> I left Lillicoet for Alkali Lake, and returned to Lillicoet on the 30<sup>th</sup>, having been engaged in laying out Indian Reserves.

It was not until my return that I received a 'duplicate' letter signed by Mr. C. Good, containing instructions to place Mr. Cane in the position of 2<sup>nd</sup> Constable.

This letter was only dispatched from New Westminster on the 25<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup>, which fact I was made aware of by a private note from Mr. Good. Under all these circumstances it was <sup>simply</sup> impossible for me to know what I was to do with Mr. Cane until my return from Alkali Lake on the 30<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup>, when His Excellency's instructions were at once fully complied with.

It will be observed that Mr. Cane was actually employed the entire time from

the date of his arrival, until the end of the month, Mr. Coney having resumed his duties on the 29<sup>th</sup> of Sept<sup>r</sup>.

Regarding the disallowance connected with my journey up the river laying out Indian Reserves, I beg leave to refer to the letter of the Colonial Secretary of the 20<sup>th</sup> July, last, addressed to me on the subject of Indian Land Reservations and in which I am instructed to 'take steps for marking out the Indian Reserves in my district' and I am further advised that 'such only could be done by a personal inspection and conference with the Indians on the ground.'

I was also instructed to have the Reserves 'distinctly marked out by conspicuous boundary posts &c. &c.'

It may not be known to His Excellency that there are now no regular houses of entertainment on the river route, I was therefore compelled to take a tent, blankets, and provisions with me. I also required assistance in measuring the land, and I could scarcely be expected to dig holes, cut  
down

down trees, and dress boundary posts with my own hands. The Indians were actually employed in the service of the Government and not as my "body servants" as you is improperly suggested in the pencil memoranda.

The axe, hatchet, plates &c are now a portion of the Permanent Stores of the District.

I may add that I took my own provisions with me, the provisions charged in the accounts were for the Indians only.

It is deeply painful to me, that His Excellency the Governor could be induced to believe that I would recklessly expend the Public moneys on my own private wants or comforts.

I have the honor to be  
Sir,  
Your obedient Servant  
A. Smith

These are all various goods for the Indians. I will mention that the Indians themselves have been employed in the service of the Government and not as my "body servants" as you is improperly suggested in the pencil memoranda. The axe, hatchet, plates &c are now a portion of the Permanent Stores of the District. I may add that I took my own provisions with me, the provisions charged in the accounts were for the Indians only. It is deeply painful to me, that His Excellency the Governor could be induced to believe that I would recklessly expend the Public moneys on my own private wants or comforts. I have the honor to be Sir, Your obedient Servant A. Smith

LIGHT ORIGINAL

C. S. O.

21 Nov. 1864

1024

Book 16

Sir

I have to ack: the  
recd of your letter of the  
7<sup>th</sup> inst returning your  
monthly requisition for  
Sept. recreation having  
been made to several of the  
items of ~~the~~ expenditure  
contained therein.

As I desire you  
to inform you that your  
Explanation with regard  
to the pay of W. Cue &

Thos.

A.C. Elliott 70

W. Penning is sufficiently  
satisfactory and that the

sum of  
£12.00 will be allowed.  
and the question of W. Beuning's pay will  
This Ex. is fully from the subject  
aware of the satisfactory <sup>of another letter</sup>  
manner in which you  
terminated the dispute  
with the natives at Camel Creek  
and it would only  
have required the  
Requisition to have  
been a little more  
explicit either in  
referring to May letter  
authorising you to  
proceed to Camel Creek - on  
even stating the  
name of the Reserve

under dispute, to  
have allowed the  
Expenditure at once.

I am however  
still obliged to return  
the Requisition for  
information as to  
the payments to  
J. Tyson & Co. & Messrs  
Lee & Co. - ~~W. Foster~~  
more especially as  
in the case of the  
payment to Messrs Lee & Co.  
I find in the  
Requisition - as shown  
the words "to Messrs Lee & Co.  
for 5 loads of Firewood"



Elliott  
114

R<sup>o</sup> 30 Nov

Folio

Lilloret 516  
November 18. 1864

NA 088

Sir,

In answer to your letter of the  
1<sup>st</sup> inst. I beg to state for the informa-  
tion of His Excellency, that I had  
given instructions to Mr Sharwood  
the Chief Constable to send a copy of  
Head Toll Returns weekly to the Colonial  
Office, he being conversant with the  
form, sent them without my signature  
during my absence from Lilloret

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient Servant

A Elliott

The Hon. A. N. Birch  
Ct. Secy  
New Westminster

The enclosed Pass etc returns are  
returned to Mr. Elliot as they were  
not without any signature or  
Address & if Mrs. Spencer is  
from <sup>from</sup> Mr. Elliot  
they received Miss  
30 West 6th

Elliot  
7/5  
Rep 30 Nov 3/64  
R 30 Nov

Roads Trade.

L. Elliott & Co  
November 27<sup>th</sup> 1864

N 1091

Sir,

The committee appointed by the inhabitants for making the new trail from the 36 mile post to Williams Lake, find they cannot at present complete the trail the entire distance, but have entered into a contract for opening the most difficult part of the road.

His Excellency the Governor has been pleased to authorize me £200.0.0 to pay the sum of Two hundred pounds to the committee on the completion of the trail.

The Hon. A. N. Birch  
Col. Secy  
New Westminster

I am requested by the Com-  
mittee to ask His Excellency to allow  
£100.0.0 me to pay the sum of one hundred  
pounds, when I am satisfied that  
half the work is done.

I may now  
state for the information of His  
Excellency that the portion now  
contracted for, will open the entire  
line for traffic, although some  
grading will afterwards be required  
on the whole.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

A. Elliott

C.S.O

30 Nov. 1864

1864  
Dk  
30 Nov 64  
Sir

I am directed  
by the Governor to  
acknowledge the receipt  
of your letter of the 21<sup>st</sup>  
and to inform you  
that he has no  
objection to an  
instalment of £100  
being paid to the  
Committee on acc<sup>t</sup>  
of the expenditure  
on the Williams Lake  
Trail - so soon as  
you are satisfied  
that ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~sufficient~~ <sup>sufficient</sup> of the

Melton.

A.C. Elliott JP

work has so far  
advanced as to  
open the entire  
line of traffic

For Mr.

30 Nov 1864

P.

Approved.

3

FILE 516

ELLIOTT, A.C.  
1864, SEPT. - Nov.

COLONIAL  
CORRESPONDENCE

PABC

Elliott  
116

Police Dept.

Lillojet B.K.  
November 30<sup>th</sup> 1864

W.W. in  
Y. Dec. 64

11137

Original  
copy  
of  
this  
letter

Sir,

On the 25<sup>th</sup> of August last I had the honor of drawing His Excellency's attention to the state of the log cabin used as a Jail. I have since had to hire another and a much better house for the purpose.

I was unable to procure a suitable place for less than five pounds per month.

The expense of removing and fitting up the cells was trifling, as the work was done by a prisoner.

I have the honor to be

Sir  
Your obedient servant

H. Elliott

From A. N. Birch  
Col. Secy

New Westminster



Answer?  
Covers  
2/20/74

See!  
D.  
Jan 1864

M. W. S.

Wm. G.

C.S. 6

1137

7. December 1864

~~Wm. G.~~

Wm.

I am directed by the  
Government to inform of the  
steps taken by you for hiring  
a building at Littleton to  
serve as a place of a central

L.S.

of five pounds a month,  
until such time as the building  
is repaired in full. Letter  
of the 31<sup>st</sup> November

The Wm.

A. L. Elliott J.P.

Elliot  
117

Magistrate's Office *Elliot* 117  
November 26<sup>th</sup> 1864

11138

Sir,

In my letter of the 20<sup>th</sup> August last I stated the great inconvenience to which I was put, through not having a proper set of pigeon-holes and lock-up for Account and Record Books and Letters.

I could get a suitable thing £10.0.0 made for Ten Pounds, which could hereafter be removed to another Office.

I should feel obliged for His Excellency's authority, to expend such amount.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your Obedient Servant

Elliott

Hon A. N. Birch  
Ct Secy

re re re

New Westminster

As Mr. Elliot is coming down for 3 or 4  
months this may be deferred - especially  
if you intend to visit Public Buildings  
next year -

should not some  
letter be written to

Mr. Bird telling him  
to hold a Court

at Lillooet

occasionally

during the

absence

Yours truly  
D. G. M. W. M.

As you get his plans made not  
for the new Gov. House at Lillooet  
it would be a good thing  
for W. Bird to visit Lillooet  
once or twice during W. Elliot's  
absence. I think I would make  
an unpaid P. P.  
near Lillooet  
D.  
7 Dec 64

I did not know that you required  
this - I had it